IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

CRAIG DEALLEN DAVISON §

v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:18cv586

SHERIFF, GREGG COUNTY §

$\begin{array}{c} {\sf MEMORANDUM\ ORDER\ OVERRULING\ PETITIONER'S\ OBJECTIONS\ AND\ ADOPTING\ }\\ \underline{{\sf THE\ MAGISTRATE\ JUDGE'S\ REPORT\ AND\ RECOMMENDATION}} \end{array}$

The Petitioner Craig Davison, a prisoner currently confined in the Gregg County Jail in Longview, Texas proceeding *pro se*, filed this application for the writ of habeas corpus challenging the legality of his confinement. This Court referred the matter to the Honorable K. Nicole Mitchell, United States Magistrate Judge, for consideration pursuant to applicable laws and orders of this court.

After review of the pleadings and the state court records, the magistrate judge recommended that the petition be dismissed without prejudice for failure to exhaust state remedies. The court has received and considered the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge filed pursuant to such order, along with the record, pleadings and all available evidence.

Petitioner filed objections to the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation. The court conducted a *de novo* review of the objections in relation to the pleadings and the applicable law. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b). After careful consideration, the court concludes petitioner's objections lack merit and should be overruled.

Furthermore, petitioner is not entitled to the issuance of a certificate of appealability. An appeal from a judgment denying federal habeas corpus relief may not proceed unless a judge issues a certificate of appealability. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253; FED. R. APP. P. 22(b). The standard for granting a certificate of appealability, like that for granting a certificate of probable cause to appeal under

١

prior law, requires the petitioner to make a substantial showing of the denial of a federal

constitutional right. See Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 483-84 (2000); Elizalde v. Dretke, 362

F.3d 323, 328 (5th Cir. 2004); see also Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1982). In making that

substantial showing, the petitioner need not establish that he should prevail on the merits. Rather,

he must demonstrate that the issues are subject to debate among jurists of reason, that a court could

resolve the issues in a different manner, or that the questions presented are worthy of encouragement

to proceed further. See Slack, 529 U.S. at 483-84.

When the district court denies a habeas petition on procedural grounds without reaching the

prisoner's underlying constitutional claim, a certificate of appealability should issue when the

prisoner shows, at least, that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the prisoner states a

valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right, and that jurists of reason would find it debatable

whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling. *Id.* at 484-85.

Here, petitioner has not shown that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the

district court was correct in its procedural ruling that petitioner failed to exhaust his state remedies.

Therefore, petitioner has failed to make a sufficient showing to merit the issuance of a certificate

of appealability.

ORDER

Accordingly, petitioner's objections are **OVERRULED**. The findings of fact and

conclusions of law of the magistrate judge are correct and the report of the magistrate judge is

ADOPTED. A final judgment will be entered in this case in accordance with the magistrate judge's

recommendations. A certificate of appealability will not be issued.

SIGNED this the 8 day of April, 2019.

Thad Heartfield

United States District Judge

2